

Superior Court of Justice
Judges' Chambers

Cour supérieure de justice
Cabinet des juges



161 Elgin St.,
5th Floor,
Ottawa ON,
K2P 2K1

161, rue Elgin
5^{ème} étage
Ottawa ON,
K2P 2K1

Direct: 613 239-1400 Fax: 613 239-1507

FACSIMILE

To: William J. Samson, Brett Ledger, Paull N. Leamen

Fax No: (613) 235-7578, (416) 862-6666, (613) 238-8507

From: Mr. Justice Robert L. Maranger

No. of Pages (including transmittal form): 04

Date: June 30, 2008

Re: Court File No.: 99-CV-10694

If there are any problems with this transmission, please dial 613-239-1400

ATTENTION

This facsimile may contain PRIVILEGED and CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION only for use of the Addressee(s) named below. If you are not the intended recipient of this facsimile or the employee or agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination or copying of this facsimile is strictly prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please immediately notify us by telephone to arrange for the return or destruction of this document. Thank you.

Le présent document télécopie peut contenir des RENSEIGNEMENTS PRIVILÉGIÉS ET CONFIDENTIELS destinés exclusivement aux personnes dont le nom mentionné ci-dessous. Si vous n'êtes pas le destinataire de ce document ni l'employé ou agent responsable de le délivrer à son destinataire, vous êtes par la présente avisé qu'il est strictement interdit de distribuer ou copier ce document. Si celui-ci vous est parvenu par erreur, veuillez nous en aviser immédiatement par téléphone et prendre les mesures nécessaires pour nous le retourner ou le détruire. Merci.

LACROIX and LADOUCEUR

and CANADA MORTGAGE AND HOUSING CORPORATION, et al.

Cou

Plaintiffs

Defendants

① Ruling on Motion For Leave to Appeal

Heard June 26/08
 Decision Released June 30/08

1. This was a motion seeking an order of granting Leave to Appeal a Decision of Justice M. Charbonneau dated March 4/2008.

2. The Endorsement dealt with a motion brought by the Defendant CMHC to stay an action on the basis that the Superior Court of Ontario did not have jurisdiction to entertain an action against an employer for failing to partially terminate a Pension Plan under the Pension Benefits Standards Act.

3. Leave to Appeal is denied. I find nothing in the argument advanced by the moving party to convince me that the considerations of the motion Judge's decision is doubtful in any way. What was argued before me was for all intents and purposes a revisiting of all of the arguments made at the original 2 Day Hearing, in a condensed format.

SUPER
 Proces

SUPPLEM
 (Leave to a

Osler, Hosk
 Box 50, 1 Fi
 Toronto, On

J. Brett Ldg
 Tel: (416) 86
 Fax: (416) 8

Solicitors for

(2)

4. I would specifically rely on paragraphs 21 through to 27 of Justice Charbonneau's Endorsement. The decision does not stand for the proposition that the Superior Court has jurisdiction under the P.B.S.A, regarding partial Termination - of Pension plans under Section 29. It simply allows for an Action pursuant to sections 8(10) and 8(11) when improper conduct is being alleged in not declaring a partial Termination. The jurisdiction seems clear.

5. The motion is therefore dismissed. The Respondents to the motion are entitled to reasonable costs I have considered the amount of costs requested by all parties in the cost envelopes provided; given that there would have been a considerable amount of redundancy in preparing this motion I would fix costs at \$6,500 all inclusive payable by the moving party to each of the Responding Parties Law Firms forth with.

← *signature*
P. L. MARRA GER

P.S. The Court acknowledges the moving parties request to make further submissions with respect to the applicability of Casimir v. Manie Atlantic Inc. - Further submissions are not required the Court would not grant leave

③. even if the lausins case were considered
irrelevant.

(———)

RL managed